1	BEFORE THE
2	Illinois Commerce Commission
3	REGULAR OPEN MEETING
4	Thursday, March 17, 2022
5	Chicago, Illinois
6	Met pursuant to notice at 11:30 a.m. at 160 North
7	LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois.
8	
9	PRESENT:
10	
11	CARRIE ZALEWSKI, Chairman
12	ETHAN KIMBREL, Commissioner
13	MARIA BOCANEGRA, Commissioner
14	MICHAEL CARRIGAN, Commissioner
15	ANN MCCABE, Commissioner
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	BRIDGES COURT REPORTING
22	BY: Quinn Dean Electronic Reporter

```
1
          CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI:
                               Under the Open Meetings Act,
 2
    I call the March 17th, 2022, Regular Open Meeting to
3
    order.
 4
                    Commissioners Bocanegra, Carrigan,
5
    Kimbrel and McCabe are with me in Chicago. We have a
6
    quorum.
7
                    We are moving on to our Public
8
    Utilities Agenda.
9
                    There are edits to the February 17,
10
    2022, Regular Open Meeting Minutes.
11
                    Are there any objections to approving
12
    the minutes as edited?
13
                    Hearing none, the minutes are approved.
14
                    Under our Electric Items, Item E-1
15
    concerns MidAmerican's filing to propose changes to
16
    Rider Curtailment Service tariff.
                                        In anticipation of
17
    changes to MISO's updated electric tariff, which
    impacts the eligibility of demand resources and
18
19
    associated accreditation, MidAmerican states that it
20
    must increase the number of potential curtailments that
    could be called. Commission Staff recommends not
21
22
    suspending the filing.
```

```
1
                     Are there any objections to not
 2
    suspending the filing?
 3
                     (No verbal response.)
 4
                     Hearing none, the filing is not
5
    suspended.
 6
                     The Item E-2 concerns ComEd's filing to
7
    propose revisions to its Rider Energy Efficiency
8
    Pricing and Performance to comply with Public Act
9
    102-0662. The changes clarify the recovery of cost as
10
    such with ComEd's Public Schools Carbon-Free Assessment
11
    Program through the Energy Efficiency revenue
12
    requirement. Commission Staff recommends not
13
    suspending the filing.
14
                     Are there any objections to not
15
    suspending the filing?
16
                     (No verbal response.)
17
                     Hearing none, the filing is not
18
    suspended.
19
                     Item E-3 concerns Ameren's filing to
20
    update its sample bill image to reflect the addition of
21
    the new Rider Energy Transition Assistance Charge,
22
    which was made effective January 3rd of 2022, in order
```

```
1
    to comply with Public Act 102-0662. Commission Staff
 2
    recommends not suspending the filing.
 3
                    Are there any objections to not
4
    suspending the filing?
5
                     (No verbal response.)
 6
                    Hearing none, the filing is not
7
    suspended.
8
                     Item E-4 concerns the Commission's
9
    proposed initiation of an investigation to determine
10
    whether the behind-the-meter terms and conditions of
11
    Ameren's net metering tariff are just and reasonable.
12
                    On January 13th of 2022, the Commission
13
    approved its final order in Docket Number 21-0859,
14
    which approved, with modifications, the community solar
15
    net metering portions of Ameren's tariff to comply with
16
    statutory deadlines set in Public Act 102-0662.
17
    response to concerns regarding additional time needed
18
    to address the behind-the-meter portions of the Rider
19
    Net Metering 2 tariff, the Commission in its order
20
    found that a separate investigation proceeding should
    be initiated to address all modifications to
21
22
    behind-the-meter provisions in Ameren's net metering
```

1 tariff. The Commission directed Staff to initiate an 2 investigation proceeding pursuant to Section 9-10 --3 excuse me, 201(b) to determine whether the 4 behind-the-meter terms and conditions of Rider Net 5 Metering 2 are just and reasonable. 6 Separately, on December 14th of 2021, 7 Ameren filed in Docket 22-0037, its proposed changes to 8 its Rider Net Metering tariff. And on January 20th of 2022, the Commission suspended the tariff, due to the 10 commonality of issues in Rider Net Metering and Rider 11 Net Metering 2. Staff recommends that the 12 Commission-ordered investigation resulting from 13 Docket 21-0859, address all of Ameren's 14 behind-the-meter -- excuse me, behind-the-meter net 15 metering tariff provisions. This includes not only 16 those provisions in Rider Net Metering 2, but also 17 provisions in Rider Net Metering to the extent it is 18 deemed necessary. 19 So today's order initiates an 20 investigation to determine whether all of the 21 behind-the-meter provision of Ameren Illinois' net 22 metering tariffs, including both Rider Net Metering and

```
1
    Rider Net Metering, are just and reasonable.
 2
                    Are there any objections to approving
3
    the order?
 4
                     (No verbal response.)
5
                    Hearing none, the order is approved.
6
    Item E-5 concerns Docket 21-0097, which is a Commission
7
    rulemaking proceeding to amend Illinois Administrative
8
    Code 411 on Electric Reliability to permit electric
9
    utility to conduct their annual customer satisfaction
10
    surveys by online means, U.S. mail, or e-mail as an
11
    alternative to telephone surveys. On June 22nd, 2021,
12
    the Commission entered an order authorizing the file of
13
    -- the filing of the second notice of proposed
14
                 The second notice of the proposed
    amendments.
15
    amendments was submitted to JCAR, which considered the
16
    rulemaking at its -- excuse me, November 2021 meeting.
17
    Today's order approves adoption of the amendments to
    part 411 as indicated in the appendix to the order.
18
19
                    Are there objections to approving the
20
    order?
21
                     (No verbal response.)
22
                    Hearing none, the order is approved.
```

1	Item E-6 concerns Docket 21-0155, which
2	is ComEd's 2022-2025 Energy Efficiency and Demand
3	Response Plan and associated Stipulation Agreement.
4	The Commission entered a final order in this proceeding
5	on June 24th of 2021. On March 1st of 2022, ComEd
6	filed a petition to reopen the docket to consider and
7	approve comments revised plan and revised stipulation
8	agreement in order to comply with Public Act 102-0662.
9	Are there any objections to granting
10	the petition?
11	(No verbal response.)
12	Hearing none, the petition is granted.
13	Item E-7 concerns an application for
14	Certificate of Service Authority to Install, Maintain
15	and Repair Electric Vehicle Charging Stations. The
16	order grants the certificate, finding that the
17	applicant meets the requirements.
18	Are there any objections to approving
19	the order?
20	(No verbal response.)
21	Hearing none, the order is approved.
22	Item E-8 concerns an application for a

```
1
    license to operate as a Retail Electric Agent, Broker
 2
    and Consultant in Illinois. The order denies the
 3
    application, finding that the applicant does not meet
4
    the requirements.
5
                     Are there any objections to approving
6
    the order?
7
                     (No verbal response.)
8
                     Hearing none, the order is approved.
9
                     Item E-9 concerns an application for a
10
    license to operate as a Retail Electric Agent, Broker
11
    and Consultant in Illinois. The order grants the
12
    application, finding that the applicant meets the
13
    requirements.
14
                     Are there objections to approving the
15
    order?
16
                     (No verbal response.)
17
                     Hearing none, the order is approved.
18
                     Item E-10 concerns an Application for
19
    Certificate to Install New Utility Scale Solar
20
    Projects. The order grants the certificate, finding
21
    that the applicant meets the requirements.
22
                     Are there any objections to approving
```

```
1
    the order?
 2.
                     (No verbal response.)
 3
                     Hearing none, the order is approved.
    Items E-11 through E-15 concern citations against
 4
5
    alternative retail electric suppliers for failure to
 6
    comply with annual and quarterly filing requirements.
7
    The Orders assess the proposed penalties, which total
8
    $40,250, and which shall be paid to the Public Utility
9
    Fund.
10
                     Are there any objections to considering
11
    these items together and approving the Orders?
12
                     (No verbal response.)
13
                     Hearing none, the Orders are approved.
14
                     Item 16 concerns Ameren's and the
15
    Adam's Electric Cooperative's joint petition to release
16
    a residential customer from Adam's service to Ameren.
17
    The order grants the petition.
18
                     Are there any objections to approving
19
    the order?
20
                     (No verbal response.)
21
                     Hearing none, the order is approved.
22
    Item E-17 concerns a request for confidential treatment
```

```
1
    of petitioner's reports. The order grants the
 2
    protection, finding that the information is highly
3
    proprietary and confidential.
 4
                     Are there any objections to approving
5
    the order?
 6
                     (No verbal response.)
7
                     Hearing none, the order is approved.
8
                     Item E-18 concerns a petition to cancel
9
    a Certificate to Install Energy Efficiency Measures in
10
    Illinois.
               The order cancels the certificate.
11
                     Are there any objections to approving
12
    the order?
13
                     (No verbal response.)
14
                     Hearing none, the order is approved.
15
    Items E-19 through E-30 concern applications for
16
    Certification to Install Energy Efficiency Measures in
17
    Illinois. The Orders grant the certificates, finding
18
    that the applicants meet the requirements.
19
                     Are there any objections to considering
20
    these items together and approving the Orders?
21
                     (No verbal response.)
22
                     Hearing none, the Orders are approved.
```

1 Moving on to Gas Items, Item G-1 2 concerns MidAmerican's filing to propose adding a new 3 service called Rate PRG or Rate Producers of Renewable 4 Gas Transportation Service. The proposed Rate PRG 5 would allow producers to inject renewable gas into 6 MidAmerican's gas distribution system for use and 7 consumption by gas transportation customers located in 8 MidAmerican's Illinois service territory or within 9 MidAmerican's FERC 7-F Area as defined in the proposed 10 tariff. Staff believes interested parties will have an 11 interest clarifying or modifying Rate P -- excuse me, 12 Accordingly, Staff recommends the Commission to PRG. 13 suspend this filing for a formal hearing process. 14 Are there any objections to approving 15 the order suspending the filing? 16 (No verbal response.) 17 Hearing none, the order is approved. 18 Item G-2 concerns Ameren's filing to 19 update its sample gas bill in image to reflect the 20 addition of the new Rider Energy Transition Assistance 21 Charge in the electric portion of its consolidated 22 electric and gas bill. The Rider Energy Transition

1 Assistant Charge was made effective on January 3rd of 2022, in order to comply with Public Act 102-0662. 2 3 Commission Staff recommends not suspending the filing. 4 Are there any objections to not 5 suspending the filing? 6 (No verbal response.) 7 Hearing none, the filing is not 8 suspended. 9 Item G-3 concerns a petition by Entrust 10 Energy East to cancel its Certificate of Service 11 Authority to operate as an Alternative Gas Supplier in 12 Illinois. ALJ notes that since the petitioner does not 13 currently have gas customers in Illinois, cancellation 14 of petitioner's certificate of gas authority as an AGS 15 will not deprive Illinois residents of any necessary gas service and is not otherwise contrary to the public 16 17 interest. The order cancels the certificate. 18 Are there any objections to approving 19 the order? 20 (No verbal response.) 21 Hearing none, the order is approved. 22 Item G-4 concerns Nicor's petition for

reconciliation under Rider 36 Variable Income Tax 1 2 Adjustment for the period of July 1st of 2020, to June 3 30th of 2021. The order approves the reconciliation as 4 indicated in the appendix of the order, finding that 5 the calculations are accurate. 6 Are there any objections to approving 7 the order? Hearing none, the order is approved. 9 Items G-5 through G-7 concern requests 10 for proprietary treatment of information in the 11 petitioner's reports. The Orders grant the 12 protections, finding that the information is highly 13 proprietary and confidential. 14 Are there any objections to considering 15 these items together and approving the Orders? 16 (No verbal response.) 17 Hearing none, the Orders are approved. 18 Under our Telecommunications Items, 19 Items T-1 through T-3 concern applications for 20 certificates of service authority to provide resold and 21 facilities-based local and interexchange 22 telecommunications services. The Orders grant and

```
1
    expand the certificates, finding that the applicants
 2
    meet the requirements.
 3
                    Are there any objections to considering
4
    these items together and approving the Orders?
5
                     (No verbal response.)
 6
                    Hearing none, the Orders are approved.
 7
                     Items T-4 through T-7 concern
8
    proceedings initiated by the Commission against
9
    respondents for failure to maintain the requisite
10
    managerial resources and abilities as required.
11
    respondents failed to appear to show cause why the
12
    Commission should not revoke their license or take
13
    other action. The Commission Staff recommended that
14
    Respondent's Certificates of Service Authority be
15
              The Orders revoke the Certificates.
    revoked.
16
                    Are there any objections to considering
17
    these items together and approving the Orders?
18
                    Hearing none, the Orders are approved.
19
                     (No verbal response.)
20
                     Item T-8 through T-10 concern requests
21
    for proprietary treatment of information in the
22
    Petitioner's reports. The Orders grant the
```

1 protections, finding that the information is highly 2 proprietary and confidential. 3 Are there any objections to considering 4 these items together and approving the Orders? 5 Hearing none, the Orders are approved. 6 Item T-11 concerns a petition for 7 voluntary cancellation of a Certificate of Service 8 Authority to provide prepaid calling services. 9 Petitioner states that it has not offered prepaid 10 calling services for many years, and therefore no 11 customers will be impacted by the cancellation of this 12 The order cancels the certificate. certificate. 13 Are there any objections to approving 14 the Orders? The order, rather. 15 Hearing none, the order is approved. Under our Water and Sewer Items, Item W-1 concerns 16 17 Illinois-American Water Company's proposed rate 18 increases for water and sewer service. Staff 19 recommends the Commission to suspend this filing for a formal hearing process. The order suspends the filing. 20 21 Are there any objections to approving 22 the order?

1 (No verbal response.) 2. Hearing none, the order is approved. 3 Item W-2 concerns a complaint filed against Aqua 4 Illinois regarding an allegation that a customer was 5 overcharged. The parties filed a Stipulation and Joint 6 Motion to Dismiss, stipulating that the matter has been 7 resolved and requesting that the Commission dismiss the 8 Complaint with prejudice. 9 Are there any objections to granting the Joint Motion to Dismiss? 10 11 (No verbal response.) 12 Hearing none, the motion is granted. 13 Item W-3 concerns Illinois-American 14 Water Company's Application for approval of the 15 purchase of certain assets of the City of Villa Grove, 16 Illinois, and for a Certificate of Public Convenience 17 and Necessity to provide water service and wastewater 18 collection and treatment service to the areas in 19 Douglas County, Illinois, now served by Villa Grove. 20 Illinois-American water is requesting Commission 21 approval of engagement agreements for appraisers who 22 will determine the market value of the water in

wastewater system assets. The Commission Staff has
reviewed the agreements and recommends approving the
Illinois-American's request. The Interim order
approves the agreements between Illinois-American and
the appraisers.

Are there any objections to approving the Interim order?

Hearing none, the Interim order is approved.

application for approval of the purchase of certain assets of the Village of Oak Park, Illinois, and for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to provide water service to the areas in DuPage and Cook Counties. Aqua Illinois is requesting Commission approval of engagement agreements for appraisers who will determine the market value of the water system assets. The Commission Staff has reviewed the agreements and recommends approving the Aqua Illinois' request. The Interim order approves the agreements between Aqua Illinois and the appraisers.

Are there any objections to approving

1 the Interim order? 2. (No verbal response.) 3 Hearing none, the interim order is 4 approved. 5 Moving on to our Petitions for 6 Rehearing, Item PR-1 concerns petitions for rehearing 7 in Docket #21-0812, which is ComEd's proposed creation of Rider Solar Paired with Storage Rebate or Rider 9 The Commission issued a final order in this SPWS. 10 docket on February 3rd of 2022, approving the Rider 11 with modification. On March 7th, applications for 12 rehearing were filed by ComEd and Magid Glove and 13 Manufacturing Company. 14 ComEd seeks rehearing with respect to 15 the requirement that Rider SPWS rebate all wholesale demand charges. ComEd asserts that this rebate 16 17 conflicts with federal law and runs afoul of the 18 Supremacy Clause in requiring that charges subject to 19 federal regulations be related to retail customers. 20 Magid Glove seeks rehearing regarding 21 what interconnection cost ComEd should rebate under 22 Magid asserts that the Commission's order Rider SPWS.

1 is not consistent with the Public Utilities Act by 2 requiring rebate of incremental costs. Instead, Magid 3 Glove requests that the Commission direct ComEd to 4 rebate all previously incurred and future 5 interconnection costs to eligible customers. Magid 6 Glove also requests that even if the Commission retains 7 its requirement, that only "incremental" 8 interconnection costs associated with PJM frequency 9 regulations be rebated, that the Commission should 10 grant rehearing to allow parties to provide evidence 11 regarding how infrastructure costs differ between the 12 interconnections depending on whether it participates 13 in the frequency regulation market. 14 Additionally, Magid Glove requests 15 rehearing to present additional evidence and argument 16 regarding the following items: First, removing the requirement that the solar facilities have a "smart 17 18 inverter" installed to be eligible for the rebate. Second, requiring ComEd to identify up front what 19 20 specific interconnection costs for a particular project 21 it believes to be eligible for the rebate. Third, 22 requiring ComEd to recognize that if the battery is

1 located on the utility side of the meter, the customer 2 is engaging in frequency regulation and its full 3 interconnection costs are eligible to be rebated. 4 fourth, requiring ComEd to clarify that the additional 5 equipment installed by third parties that is used to 6 enable the customer to participate fully in the PJM 7 frequency regulation market is eligible to be rebated. 8 The ALJ recommends denying ComEd's 9 petition, stating that the issue was fully litigated 10 and that ComEd did not raise any new facts or arguments 11 that would warrant granting rehearing on this issue. 12 The Commission agrees with the ALJ and finds that 13 ComEd's petition should be denied. 14 Are there any objections to denying 15 ComEd's application for rehearing? 16 (No verbal response.) 17 Hearing none, the application for 18 rehearing is denied. 19 Regarding Magid Glove's petition for 20 rehearing, the ALJ states that for the purpose of approving the tariff, it is not necessary for the 21 22 Commission to determine what the incremental

1 interconnection costs associated with connecting the 2 customer's battery to the PJM frequency regulation 3 The Commission, however, is willing, in order market. 4 to clarify its order to grant the rehearing with the 5 limited scope to allow parties to provide evidence 6 regarding how infrastructure costs differ between an 7 installation that does or does not participate in 8 frequency regulation market in order to clarify the 9 order in terms of what costs of interconnection shall 10 be rebated. 11 Are there any Commissioner comments or 12 discussion on Magid's petition? 13 (No verbal responses.) 14 Are there any objection -- is there any 15 objection to granting Magid Glove's application for 16 rehearing with the limited scope as described? 17 (No verbal response.) 18 Hearing none, the hearing is granted as 19 noted. 20 Under Other Business, Item O-1 concerns 21 approval of Batches, Contracts, and Confirmations under 22 the Adjustable Block Program.

```
1
                    Are there any objections to approving
    the Program Administrator Submissions?
 2
 3
                     (No verbal response.)
 4
                    Hearing none, the submissions are
5
    approved.
 6
                     Item 0-2 concerns the Commission's
7
    comments to the US Department of Energy's Notice of
8
    Inquiry regarding the establishment of a Civil Nuclear
9
    Credit Program pursuant to the Infrastructure
10
    Investment and Jobs Act. The ICC's comments states,
11
    among other things, that the ICC generally supports the
12
    Civil Nuclear Credit Program, but federal credits
13
    should be allowed to flow to states like Illinois that
14
    have been at the forefront in establishing zero carbon
15
    emissions credit programs. It also states that nuclear
    reactors should not be penalized in the bidding process
16
17
    for having already received state ZEC credits.
18
                    Are there any objections to approving
19
    the submission of the Commission's comments?
20
                     (No verbal responses.)
21
                    Hearing none, the comments are
22
    approved.
```

1 This concludes our Public Utilities 2 Judge Teague Kingsley, do we have other matters 3 to come before the Commission today? 4 No, ma'am. JUDGE TEAGUE KINGSLEY: 5 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Do other Commissioners have 6 business to discuss? 7 (No verbal response.) 8 Just have one thing: Today -- or 9 excuse me, this week is national "Fix-a-Leak Week." 10 Household leaks can waste nearly one trillion gallons 11 of water nationally, leading homeowners and renters 12 with the cost of repairs and damage. The purpose of 13 this campaign is to encourage residents to become leak 14 detectives, chasing down and fixing leaks to conserve 15 water and save money on water bills. Follow the ICC on 16 our social media platforms for tips and advice on how to detect and fix leaks. Our social channels are 17 18 linked on our home page at ICC.Illinois.gov. 19 And that's all I have for comments. 20 So hearing no further comments or 21 objections, the meeting is now adjourned. Thank you. 22

```
1
 2
                         (WHEREUPON, the above-entitled matter
                          was adjourned.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```